


## EDITOR'S REPORT

This report comprised of historical information pertaining to the submissions, editorial board and review process of the *Journal of Quantitative Methods (JQM)* since its inception.

*JQM*'s mission is to share knowledge by publishing basic and applied research on data innovations in the fields of statistics, business and economics to explore the new horizons of thoughts, ideas, research techniques, tools and methods. A special attention is paid to statistical modeling of each of the manuscript received for publication. We believe that a good theory also needs empirical evidences, and for this, our focus is to accept only those articles where statistical models are stated with clear assumptions and parameters of the models are estimated with a proper justification of the estimation method.

Furthermore, the results presented in tables and figures must be interpreted clearly. Although as the editor of *JQM* my role is to initially screen the submitted manuscripts and select suitable reviewers for peer review process and finally take the decision of acceptance or rejection according to reviewers' reports; my main concern is always on the quality of the material submitted for possible publication in the *JQM*. For this, our team always ensures integrity, and maintains high quality to avoid any problem. This not only helps improve the quality of the *JQM* but also selects best of the best article. We are highly grateful to all authors who submitted their scholarly work to our journal and trusted us. It is worth mentioning that the chief editor/editor bears no liability for statements of fact or opinion/s made by the authors. The *JQM* review board composition by regions is given in figure 1.



**Figure 1: Distribution of JQM Review Board by Region**

Since June 2017, we have received 228 manuscripts from 19 countries Algeria, Australia, Bangladesh, China, India, Japan, Malaysia, Nepal, Nigeria, Palestine, Portugal, Sweden, Germany, Tanzania, Turkey, UK, USA, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Zimbabwe, and Pakistan. **The acceptance rate of the JQM is 10% while average desk rejection rate is 53.39%.** Table 1 shows the issue-wise submissions since the first volume while summary of review time (in days) is presented in table 2.


**Table 1: JQM Issue-Wise Submissions**

<b>Submissions Status</b>	<b>Vol 1(1)</b>	<b>Vol 2(1)</b>	<b>Vol 2(2)</b>	<b>Vol 3(1)</b>
<b>Total Submission</b>	24	42	68	87
<b>Foreign Submission</b>	9	15	27	39
<b>Local Submission</b>	15	27	41	48
<b>Accepted</b>	5	5	6	5
<b>In process</b>	4	8	13	33
<b>Rejected</b>	15	32	54	54
- <b>Desk Review</b>	4	16	48	50
- <b>Local Review</b>	3	2	3	-
- <b>Foreign Review</b>	7	5	0	-

**Table 2: Summary of Review Times, in days, of Vol. 1(1)-3(1)**

	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>
<b>Initial Reviews</b>	<b>Desk Review</b>	<b>Local Review</b>	<b>Foreign Review</b>
<i>n</i>	75	39	85
Median	5	23	82
95th%	11	49	141
Maximum	16	164	196
<b>Revisions</b>			
<i>n</i>	46	32	94
Median	4	18	61
95th%	9	49	110
Maximum	14	67	181

The graphical presentation of the information presented in table 1 is given in figure 2.



**Figure 2: Submissions Breakup**

We are thankful to our advisory, editorial and review board members for their continuous support for improving the quality of journal.

Profound Regards,

**Sajid Ali**

Editor JQM

Department of Statistics

Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.